



# Rapid Risk Factor Surveillance System (RRFSS)

2011 Annual Summary Report



Prepared for the Leeds, Grenville & Lanark District Health Unit  
by the Institute for Social Research, York University

November, 2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background .....	4
1.2	Methodology .....	4
2	RESULTS	
2.1	Introduction .....	5
2.2	Methodology .....	5
2.3	Awareness of the Benefits of Breast Milk .....	6
2.4	Reproductive Health (CORE) .....	6
2.5	Breastfeeding .....	7
2.6	Body Mass Index (BMI) (CORE) .....	8
2.7	Chronic Diseases .....	9
	Priority Groups for Influenza Vaccination .....	9
	Chronic Diseases .....	11
2.8	Colorectal Screening .....	12
2.9	Dental .....	13
	Dental Health .....	13
	Dental Insurance .....	15
2.10	Driving Status (CORE) .....	16
2.11	Dwelling Type .....	16
2.12	Flu Immunization .....	17
	Seasonal Flu Shot .....	17
	Chronic Diseases/65+ .....	18
	Flu Immunization – Children .....	18
2.13	Fluoride .....	19
2.14	Food Safety .....	20
	Mandatory Food Handler Training .....	20
	Food Safety in the Home: Time/Temperature/ Food Handling Behaviours .....	20
	Food Safety in the Home: Awareness, Behaviour And Communication .....	24
2.15	Fruits and Vegetables .....	26
2.16	General Health (CORE) .....	27
2.17	Household Drinking Water .....	27
2.18	Physical Activity (CORE) .....	28
2.19	Safe Water Testing .....	29

2.20	Sexual Identification .....	30
2.21	Sociodemographics (CORE) .....	30
	Age .....	30
	Gender .....	31
	Marital Status.....	31
	Children .....	31
	Education .....	32
	Employment Status .....	32
	Income .....	33
	Home Language .....	33
	Country of Birth & Immigrant Status.....	33
2.22	Support for Smoke-free Public Places .....	34
2.23	Support for Smoking Ban in Multi-Unit Dwellings .....	36
2.24	Tobacco .....	36
	Tobacco - Use by Respondent (CORE).....	36
	Tobacco - In the Home.....	36
	Tobacco - In Vehicle (CORE).....	38
2.25	Triple P - Positive Parenting Program Campaign Awareness .....	39
2.26	Web Site .....	40

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

The Rapid Risk Factor Surveillance System (RRFSS) is an ongoing telephone survey designed to monitor trends in health risk behaviours in public health units across Ontario. RRFSS started as a collaborative effort by three health units in 2001. In 2011, 19 health units participated in RRFSS. Over time, new modules (sets of questions) have been developed according to public health priorities, emerging local issues, to support the planning and evaluation of programs and services, or to fulfill The Ontario Public Health Standards (OPHS) and Protocols requirement. The Leeds, Grenville & Lanark District Health Unit has participated in RRFSS since 2003.

For more information on RRFSS, visit the website at <http://www.rfss.ca>. For more information on the RRFSS data collection, please refer to the 2011 RRFSS Technical Documentation.

## 1.2 Methodology

All interviewing was completed from York University's Institute for Social Research's centralized telephone facilities using Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) techniques.

The sample for RRFSS is designed to represent the adult population of the Leeds, Grenville & Lanark District Health Unit's service area (adults 18 years of age or older who speak English and reside in private households). List-assisted random digit dialing (RDD) procedures are utilized to select households and, within households, the most recent birthday selection method was used to select the adult to be interviewed.

The RRFSS survey consists of two types of modules – 'Core' and 'Optional'. Core modules are asked by all RRFSS participating health units for the year. Optional modules are selected by Health Units and may change each cycle of data collection. The Optional modules chosen by Leeds, Grenville & Lanark address health unit priorities and provide additional information about the health status and service needs of the adult population of the health unit. Responses to Core and Optional modules selected by the Leeds, Grenville & Lanark District Health Unit are provided in this set of summary tables.

## 2 RESULTS

### 2.1 Introduction

In 2011, 965 adults in Leeds, Grenville & Lanark participated in the RRFSS survey. Most of the summary tables will total to 965. However, the denominator (total frequency) in some tables will be different. Totals will be less than 965 when a question was asked for one or two cycles rather than the entire year or when a question is only asked of a sub-group of the population (for example, women, current drivers, etc.). The table will total more than 965 when respondents are able to provide more than one answer, for example, when asked about how they heard about a community campaign. The results in the report are presented alphabetically by topic, not by the order in which they were asked during the interview.

### 2.2 Methodology

Data from the 2011 RRFSS were tabulated using Complex Analysis Procedures in IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21.

The data collected is a sample of the population and it is necessary to weight the data to correct for unequal probabilities of selection based on household size. The probability of an adult being selected for an interview varies inversely with the number of people living in that household. Weights correct for inequality in selection within the household. The application of these weights to the data means that the results are more representative of the Leeds, Grenville & Lanark population.

Estimates are presented with 95% Confidence Intervals (C.I.) as well as the upper and lower limits of the interval. These C.I.'s indicate that there is a 95% probability that the true measure would fall within the upper and lower limits of the interval if the entire population of Leeds, Grenville and Lanark had been included in the survey (for 19 out of 20 similarly collected samples).

Another indicator used in this report is the Coefficient of Variation (C.V.). The C.V. represents the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean, and is a useful statistic for evaluating how much variability there is in the data. The smaller the C.V., the less variability there is and the closer the estimate is to the actual value. The higher the C.V., the more variability there is in the data. Data presented in this report with the symbol <sup>a</sup> (superscript) indicates that the estimate may be released, but has a high coefficient of variation and must be interpreted with caution. The symbol <sup>b</sup> indicates the estimate is too unreliable to be published and most likely invalid due to high variability (typically resulting from a small number of observations in the answer category).

## 2.3 Awareness of the Benefits of Breast Milk

**'Healthy Babies, Healthy Children' is a campaign promoting breast feeding in the community. Have you heard of or seen any of these promotions?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	20.6%	18.0%	23.4%	.067	204
No	77.9%	75.0%	80.5%	.018	746
Don't know	1.3%	.7%	2.4%	.299 <sup>a</sup>	13
Refused	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.4 Reproductive Health (CORE)

**Are you pregnant?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	2.3%	1.0%	5.5%	.443 <sup>b</sup>	5
No (includes don't think so)	97.7%	94.5%	99.0%	.011	195
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>200</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only of female respondents ages 18-49 who have not had a hysterectomy

**Have you had a baby in the past 5 years?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	27.4%	21.5%	34.2%	.119	56
No	72.6%	65.8%	78.5%	.045	144
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>200</b>

**In what year was your (last) child born?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
2005	6.0%	1.9%	17.3%	.570 <sup>b</sup>	3
2006	7.7%	3.1%	17.6%	.444 <sup>b</sup>	5
2007	14.5%	7.4%	26.7%	.331 <sup>a</sup>	8
2008	14.5%	7.1%	27.3%	.346 <sup>b</sup>	8
2009	18.8%	10.5%	31.3%	.280 <sup>a</sup>	11
2010	23.1%	13.4%	36.7%	.259 <sup>a</sup>	12
2011	15.4%	8.1%	27.2%	.310 <sup>a</sup>	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>56</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.5 Breastfeeding

(For your last baby), did you breastfeed or try to breastfeed your child, even if only for a short time?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	83.8%	71.1%	91.5%	.061	47
No	16.2%	8.5%	28.9%	.315 <sup>a</sup>	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>56</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

Are you still breastfeeding?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	16.3%	7.8%	31.1%	.358 <sup>b</sup>	7
No	83.7%	68.9%	92.2%	.070	40
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>47</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

For how long did you breastfeed?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
1 to 60 days	35.4%	22.0%	51.5%	.218 <sup>a</sup>	14
61 to 120 days	15.9%	6.9%	32.4%	.400 <sup>b</sup>	6
121 to 180 days	9.8%	3.7%	23.4%	.477 <sup>b</sup>	4
More than 180 days	39.0%	25.1%	55.0%	.201 <sup>a</sup>	16
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>40</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### What was the main reason that you stopped?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Advice of doctor	2.5%	.3%	15.8%	.989 <sup>b</sup>	1
Child weaned him/herself	15.0%	6.9%	29.7%	.379 <sup>b</sup>	6
Difficulty with BF techniques	2.5%	.3%	15.8%	.989 <sup>b</sup>	1
Breastfeeding inconvenient; prefer bottle	5.0%	1.2%	18.0%	.691 <sup>b</sup>	2
Not enough milk	8.7%	3.0%	23.0%	.529 <sup>b</sup>	4
Planned to stop/long enough	2.5%	.3%	15.8%	.989 <sup>b</sup>	1
Returned to work/school	18.7%	9.1%	34.7%	.344	7
Mum ill or on medication for illness	5.0%	.7%	27.8%	.963 <sup>b</sup>	1
Not enough time/too busy	5.0%	1.2%	18.0%	.691 <sup>b</sup>	2
Baby teething/biting mum	10.0%	3.8%	23.9%	.477 <sup>b</sup>	4
Dried up/stopped producing milk	12.5%	5.3%	26.9%	.421 <sup>b</sup>	5
Other [specify]	12.5%	5.3%	26.9%	.421 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>39</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.6 Body Mass Index (BMI) (CORE)

### Body Mass Index (BMI)

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Underweight (<18.5)	1.4%	.8%	2.4%	.275 <sup>a</sup>	15
Acceptable weight (18.5 - 24.9)	35.6%	32.3%	39.1%	.049	317
Overweight (25.0 - 29.9)	37.9%	34.6%	41.4%	.046	340
Obese (>= 30.0)	25.0%	22.1%	28.2%	.063	217
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>889</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

\* Not asked if pregnant or if height is don't know, refused, < 3 feet or >6 feet 11 inches



## 2.7 Chronic Diseases

### Priority Groups for Influenza Vaccination

#### Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health care professional that you have asthma?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	11.7%	8.3%	16.2%	.171 <sup>a</sup>	35
No	88.3%	83.8%	91.7%	.023	285
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

\* Asked only from January – April

#### Do you still have asthma?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	51.4%	34.0%	68.5%	.178 <sup>a</sup>	19
No	37.1%	21.6%	55.8%	.244 <sup>a</sup>	12
Don't know	11.4%	4.1%	28.1%	.501 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>35</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January – April

#### Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health care professional that you have diabetes?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	8.4%	5.7%	12.2%	.196 <sup>a</sup>	29
No	91.6%	87.8%	94.3%	.018	291
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

\* Asked only from January – April

#### Do you have heart disease?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	8.4%	5.8%	11.9%	.185 <sup>a</sup>	31
No	90.0%	86.2%	92.8%	.019	283
Don't know	1.7%	.7%	3.8%	.422 <sup>b</sup>	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January - April

**Do you have lung disease?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	1.2%	.5%	2.7%	.428 <sup>b</sup>	6
No	98.5%	96.9%	99.3%	.006	312
Don't know	.3%	.1%	1.3%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published  
 \* Asked only from January - April

**Do you have kidney or liver disease?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	2.7%	1.4%	5.2%	.339 <sup>b</sup>	9
No	96.7%	94.1%	98.1%	.010	308
Don't know	.7%	.2%	2.2%	.612 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published  
 \* Asked only from January – April

**Do you have a blood-related disorder such as anaemia or hemoglobinopathy?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	3.3%	1.8%	6.0%	.304 <sup>a</sup>	12
No	96.2%	93.5%	97.8%	.011	305
Don't know	.5%	.2%	1.6%	.578 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)  
<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published  
 \* Asked only from January – April

**Do you have cancer, immunodeficiency, or immunosuppression due to underlying disease and/or therapy?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	6.5%	4.2%	9.9%	.218 <sup>a</sup>	21
No	93.3%	89.9%	95.6%	.015	298
Don't know	.2%	.0%	1.2%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)  
<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published  
 \* Asked only from January – April

## Chronic Diseases

### Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health care professional that you have high blood pressure?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	24.9%	20.3%	30.2%	.101	88
No	74.7%	69.5%	79.4%	.034	231
Don't know	.3%	.0%	2.3%	.999 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January - April

### Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health care professional that you have osteoporosis?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	8.9%	6.2%	12.5%	.179 <sup>a</sup>	35
No	91.0%	87.3%	93.6%	.018	284
Don't know	.2%	.0%	1.2%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January – April

### Have you had a stroke?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	3.2%	1.8%	5.6%	.290 <sup>a</sup>	13
No	96.5%	94.1%	97.9%	.010	305
Don't know	.3%	.1%	1.3%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January - April

## 2.8 Colorectal Screening

**Have you ever had a test for blood in your stool, that is a test where you have a bowel movement and use a stick to smear a small sample of it on a special card?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	45.0%	38.9%	51.2%	.070	136
No	54.2%	48.0%	60.3%	.058	151
Don't know	.8%	.2%	3.0%	.705 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>289</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only of respondents 35 years of age and older

**How long has it been since your last test?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Less than 12 months ago	40.6%	32.1%	49.7%	.112	53
One year but less than 2 years ago	20.9%	14.7%	28.9%	.173 <sup>a</sup>	31
Two years but less than 3 years ago	12.6%	7.5%	20.2%	.252 <sup>a</sup>	15
Three years but less than 4 years ago	4.2%	1.7%	10.0%	.458 <sup>b</sup>	5
Four years but less than 5 years ago	2.1%	.7%	5.8%	.526 <sup>b</sup>	4
Five or more years ago	16.7%	11.1%	24.4%	.200 <sup>a</sup>	24
Don't know	2.9%	1.1%	7.8%	.508 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>136</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**What was the reason for having this test (a test for blood in your stool)?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Regular check-up, routine visit	52.7%	43.7%	61.5%	.087	72
Ongoing or past bowel problems	5.9%	2.8%	11.8%	.367 <sup>b</sup>	8
Concern about possible problem	13.8%	8.8%	21.0%	.221 <sup>a</sup>	20
Family history of colorectal cancer	10.5%	6.0%	17.7%	.279 <sup>a</sup>	14
Age	10.9%	6.1%	18.6%	.283 <sup>a</sup>	14
Don't know	1.3%	.4%	3.9%	.578 <sup>b</sup>	3
Other [specify]	5.0%	2.1%	11.7%	.443 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>136</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.9 Dental

### Dental Health

Compared to other people of your age, would you say the health of your mouth including your teeth and gums is:

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Excellent	20.3%	17.7%	23.1%	.068	197
Very good	37.9%	34.6%	41.3%	.045	353
Good	31.2%	28.1%	34.4%	.052	304
Fair	6.9%	5.5%	8.7%	.119	73
Poor	2.7%	1.7%	4.1%	.219 <sup>a</sup>	26
Don't know	.9%	.5%	1.7%	.316 <sup>a</sup>	11
Refused	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

Do you have all, most, some, few, or none of your natural teeth?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
All	50.8%	47.4%	54.2%	.034	456
Most	33.3%	30.2%	36.5%	.048	336
Some	7.8%	6.3%	9.8%	.114	85
Few	2.1%	1.3%	3.2%	.227 <sup>a</sup>	22
None	5.5%	4.3%	7.2%	.133	61
Don't know	.5%	.2%	1.2%	.457 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

Do you have dentures, a bridge, a plate or implants?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes (to 1 or more)	46.6%	42.0%	51.2%	.051	251
No	53.3%	48.7%	57.9%	.044	252
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>504</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**How many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
None	20.6%	16.8%	24.9%	.101	88
1	13.7%	10.5%	17.7%	.133	55
2	16.1%	12.8%	20.1%	.116	68
3	8.8%	6.4%	11.9%	.157	41
4	8.9%	6.5%	12.0%	.157	42
5	4.1%	2.4%	6.8%	.266 <sup>a</sup>	16
6	5.8%	3.8%	8.9%	.217 <sup>a</sup>	25
Seven or more teeth	9.4%	6.9%	12.6%	.152	46
Don't know	12.7%	9.9%	16.2%	.127	62
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>443</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**How long has it been since your last visit to a dentist or other dental care provider:**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Less than one year ago	73.7%	70.6%	76.6%	.021	706
Between 1 and 2 years ago	13.7%	11.5%	16.3%	.089	126
Between 3 and 5 years ago	5.8%	4.5%	7.5%	.133	61
More than 5 years ago	6.5%	5.0%	8.4%	.134	67
Never	.1%	.0%	.4%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
Don't know	.2%	.1%	.5%	.578 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**What was the main reason you visited the dental care provider?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Regular check-up/cleaning	73.7%	70.6%	76.5%	.020	693
Follow-up to a check-up	1.0%	.5%	2.1%	.383 <sup>b</sup>	9
Maintenance/treatment	19.4%	16.9%	22.2%	.069	199
Emergency visit due to pain or other trouble	4.6%	3.4%	6.3%	.158	45
Don't know	.5%	.2%	1.2%	.457 <sup>b</sup>	5
Other [specify]	.8%	.4%	1.6%	.345 <sup>b</sup>	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>960</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## Dental Insurance

### Are you covered by insurance that covers all or part of your dental expenses?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	65.9%	62.7%	69.0%	.025	610
No	33.2%	30.1%	36.5%	.048	347
Don't know	.8%	.3%	1.7%	.403 <sup>b</sup>	7
Refused	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Would that be a private dental plan; an employer-paid plan; or a government-sponsored plan such as Ontario Works or Ontario Disability Support?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Private plan	15.9%	13.1%	19.2%	.097	103
Employer-paid plan	71.5%	67.7%	75.1%	.027	424
Government-sponsored plan	11.2%	8.9%	14.1%	.116	75
Don't know	1.2%	.5%	2.6%	.402 <sup>b</sup>	7
Refused	.2%	.0%	1.2%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>610</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Have you ever turned down or refused necessary dental treatment such as a filling, root canal or having a tooth pulled?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	8.0%	6.4%	10.0%	.116	80
No	91.5%	89.4%	93.2%	.010	879
Don't know	.5%	.2%	1.3%	.483 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>964</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### What was the reason you turned down or refused the treatment?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Insurance would not cover any of cost	15.1%	8.3%	25.7%	.290 <sup>a</sup>	11
Insurance would only cover part of cost	6.2%	2.4%	14.9%	.469 <sup>b</sup>	5
Did not have insurance	40.4%	29.6%	52.3%	.145	34
Some other reason, specify	38.4%	27.7%	50.3%	.153	30
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>80</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.10 Driving Status (CORE)

### In the past 12 months have you driven a motor vehicle?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	91.8%	89.8%	93.5%	.010	882
No	8.2%	6.5%	10.2%	.114	83
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

## 2.11 Dwelling type

### What type of dwelling do you live in?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Detached house	86.5%	84.1%	88.5%	.013	807
Semi-detached house	4.3%	3.1%	5.9%	.167 <sup>a</sup>	41
Attached house (townhouse)	3.1%	2.2%	4.4%	.180 <sup>a</sup>	33
Apartment building/condo building	4.9%	3.8%	6.3%	.129	66
Mixed use building	.1%	.0%	.4%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
Retirement/nursing home/seniors' complex	.5%	.3%	1.1%	.346 <sup>b</sup>	9
Don't know	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
Other (specify)	.5%	.2%	1.2%	.457 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published



**Is this dwelling:**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Owned	90.6%	88.6%	92.3%	.010	852
Rented/Leased	9.1%	7.4%	11.1%	.102	108
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.4%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
Refused	.2%	.1%	.5%	.578 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.12 Flu Immunization

### Seasonal Flu Shot

**Since October 2010, have you had a seasonal flu shot?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	43.0%	37.3%	48.8%	.069	147
No	57.0%	51.2%	62.7%	.052	173
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

\* Asked only from January - April

**In what month was that?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
January	3.9%	1.4%	10.4%	.516 <sup>b</sup>	5
September	4.3%	1.8%	9.7%	.426 <sup>b</sup>	6
October	33.5%	25.9%	42.0%	.124	48
November	42.0%	33.8%	50.7%	.103	64
December	7.8%	4.2%	14.1%	.311 <sup>a</sup>	10
Don't remember/don't know	8.6%	4.9%	14.5%	.276 <sup>a</sup>	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>147</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January - April

## Flu Immunization – Chronic Diseases/65+

**Do you live with, or visit at least once a week, with a person who has a chronic health condition such as heart disease, lung disease (includes asthma), diabetes, cancer, or any other chronic disease?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	31.8%	26.5%	37.5%	.089	98
No	67.7%	61.9%	73.0%	.042	220
Don't know	.5%	.1%	2.1%	.744 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only from January-April

**Including those who live with you, do you see or visit a person over the age of 65 at least once a week?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	55.0%	49.1%	60.8%	.054	172
No	45.0%	39.2%	50.9%	.067	148
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

\* Asked only from January-April

## Flu Immunization – Children

**Best location for child/children to get their free flu shot**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Doctor's office	38.9%	32.7%	45.5%	.084	97
Walk-in clinic	4.4%	2.5%	7.9%	.298	11
Public health community clinic	10.8%	7.5%	15.4%	.185 <sup>a</sup>	29
Child's school	25.2%	19.9%	31.4%	.116	63
Other (specify)	6.9%	4.3%	11.1%	.243 <sup>a</sup>	17
R volunteers - do not support/promote flu vaccination	10.2%	6.6%	15.5%	.218 <sup>a</sup>	23
R volunteers - do not support/promote vaccination in general	1.5%	.6%	4.0%	.497 <sup>b</sup>	4
Don't know	1.9%	.8%	4.7%	.465 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>249</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked only if respondent has children less than 17 years of age

**Are you in favour of providing flu shots to your child/children during school hours?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	84.7%	72.6%	92.1%	.057	54
No	9.2%	4.1%	19.1%	.393 <sup>b</sup>	6
Don't know	6.1%	1.9%	18.2%	.590 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>63</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published  
 \* Asked only if respondent has children less than 17 years of age

**How concerned are you about the possible long-term negative effects of the flu shot for children?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Very concerned	29.5%	23.7%	36.0%	.107	68
Somewhat concerned	32.8%	26.9%	39.2%	.095	84
Not very concerned	16.8%	12.4%	22.3%	.150	42
Not at all concerned	19.3%	14.7%	24.8%	.134	50
Don't know	1.7%	.7%	4.4%	.481 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>249</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published  
 \* Asked only if respondent has children less than 17 years of age

## 2.13 Fluoride

**Do you support or oppose adding fluoride to public drinking water when the natural amount is too low to help prevent tooth decay?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Support	51.4%	48.0%	54.8%	.034	501
Oppose	29.4%	26.4%	32.7%	.055	270
Don't know	18.8%	16.4%	21.5%	.070	192
Refused	.3%	.1%	1.4%	.744 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.14 Food Safety

### Mandatory Food Handler Training

**Do you think food handler training in restaurants and other food premises should be:**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Voluntary	6.5%	5.0%	8.4%	.133	62
Compulsory	81.1%	78.3%	83.7%	.017	778
Don't know	12.2%	10.1%	14.6%	.095	123
Refused	.2%	.1%	.9%	.707 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Food Safety In the Home: Time/Temperature/Food Handling Behaviours

**Do you have a thermometer for your refrigerator?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	45.8%	42.4%	49.2%	.038	444
No	51.2%	47.8%	54.6%	.034	493
Don't know	3.0%	2.1%	4.4%	.195 <sup>a</sup>	28
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**At what temperature do you keep your refrigerator?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Above 10C (50F)	2.9%	1.8%	4.4%	.224 <sup>a</sup>	26
Between 5C and 10C (41F and 50F)	8.8%	7.0%	10.9%	.113	81
At 4C (40F) or below	21.7%	19.1%	24.6%	.064	216
Don't know	66.7%	63.4%	69.8%	.024	642
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**Do you use meat, fish, seafood, or poultry when you are preparing meals at home?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	98.1%	96.9%	98.9%	.005	944
No	1.8%	1.0%	3.0%	.270 <sup>a</sup>	19
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.4%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**What is the main method you use to thaw meat, fish, seafood and/or poultry?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
On the counter at room temperature	22.6%	19.9%	25.7%	.065	206
In the refrigerator	49.7%	46.3%	53.1%	.035	481
In the microwave	11.2%	9.2%	13.5%	.099	108
Under cold running water	5.3%	3.9%	7.1%	.157	43
Other method: specify	5.8%	4.3%	7.6%	.145	53
Don't defrost (cook from fresh or frozen)	3.2%	2.2%	4.6%	.187 <sup>a</sup>	32
Don't know	2.3%	1.5%	3.6%	.225 <sup>a</sup>	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>944</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**When you marinate meat, poultry, fish or seafood, what do you usually do with leftover marinade?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Throw it away / Discard	78.3%	75.4%	80.9%	.018	724
Use the leftover marinade to baste	1.9%	1.2%	3.1%	.254 <sup>a</sup>	17
Don't marinate	13.7%	11.7%	16.1%	.083	151
Other, specify	2.5%	1.6%	3.9%	.223 <sup>a</sup>	24
Don't know	3.4%	2.3%	5.0%	.194 <sup>a</sup>	27
Refused	.2%	.0%	1.2%	.999 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>944</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**Do you bring the leftover marinade to a rolling boil before using it again to baste?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	47.1%	24.5%	70.8%	.271 <sup>a</sup>	9
No	52.9%	29.2%	75.5%	.241 <sup>a</sup>	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>17</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**After you put raw meat from a plate onto a barbecue or frying pan, how often do you switch to a clean plate when you serve the meat?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Always	90.9%	88.9%	92.7%	.010	847
Most of the time	3.7%	2.7%	5.2%	.164	40
Sometimes	1.8%	1.1%	3.0%	.263 <sup>a</sup>	16
Never	1.5%	.8%	2.6%	.291 <sup>a</sup>	14
R volunteers, I do not barbecue	1.5%	.9%	2.3%	.242 <sup>a</sup>	20
Don't know	.6%	.3%	1.3%	.396 <sup>b</sup>	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>944</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**When barbecuing hamburgers, how often do you use a food probe thermometer to check if they are cooked?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Always	8.2%	6.5%	10.3%	.118	74
Most of the time	3.6%	2.6%	5.1%	.171 <sup>a</sup>	35
Sometimes	5.4%	4.0%	7.3%	.151	46
Never	72.3%	69.2%	75.3%	.022	654
R volunteers, I do not barbecue	9.6%	7.9%	11.8%	.103	108
Don't know	.7%	.3%	1.7%	.455 <sup>b</sup>	6
Refused	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>924</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**When cooking indoors, how often do you use a food probe thermometer to determine if meat is cooked?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Always	11.9%	9.9%	14.2%	.093	115
Most of the time	13.0%	10.8%	15.5%	.092	119
Sometimes	29.6%	26.5%	32.8%	.054	265
Never	44.0%	40.6%	47.5%	.039	429
R volunteers, I do not barbecue	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
R volunteers, I do not cook these items indoors	.2%	.1%	.5%	.578 <sup>b</sup>	3
Don't know	1.2%	.7%	2.2%	.293 <sup>a</sup>	12
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>944</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**After you cook large cuts of meat or poultry, how often do you cut large pieces of left over meat into smaller portions before refrigerating or freezing?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Always	40.1%	36.7%	43.5%	.043	370
Most of the time	23.9%	21.1%	27.0%	.062	226
Sometimes	22.0%	19.3%	25.0%	.066	200
Never	9.2%	7.4%	11.4%	.110	91
R volunteers, I do not cook these items	2.4%	1.7%	3.4%	.184 <sup>a</sup>	33
Don't know	2.4%	1.6%	3.7%	.215 <sup>a</sup>	24
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>944</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**After you have cooked or purchased hot food that is not going to be served for at least two hours, where do you keep the food until it is eaten?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
On the counter at room temperature	9.4%	7.5%	11.6%	.112	89
In the refrigerator	49.6%	46.2%	53.0%	.035	470
In a hot location, such as a hot oven, stovetop, or crock pot	15.1%	12.8%	17.8%	.083	140
I always serve the food within two hours	19.4%	16.9%	22.2%	.069	192
Other, specify	2.9%	2.0%	4.2%	.187 <sup>a</sup>	31
Don't know	3.3%	2.4%	4.7%	.170 <sup>a</sup>	40
Refused	.2%	.1%	.7%	.612 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**When you have food left over from a meal that you want to keep (including take out), how soon after do you put the leftovers into the refrigerator or freezer?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Within 30 minutes	74.2%	71.2%	77.1%	.020	710
31 to 60 minutes	16.6%	14.3%	19.2%	.075	165
61 to 120 minutes	3.0%	2.0%	4.5%	.209 <sup>a</sup>	27
More than 120 minutes	1.4%	.8%	2.4%	.289 <sup>a</sup>	13
Never keep leftovers	1.5%	.9%	2.5%	.268 <sup>a</sup>	17
Don't know	3.2%	2.1%	4.8%	.207 <sup>a</sup>	31
Refused	.1%	.0%	.4%	.708 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## Food Safety In the Home: Awareness, Behaviours and Communication

### Do you believe unsafe food preparation that leads to food-borne illness is more likely to occur in:

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Restaurants	26.4%	23.5%	29.5%	.058	254
Private homes	18.3%	15.8%	21.1%	.073	170
Food vending carts (e.g. Hot dog carts)	10.4%	8.5%	12.6%	.100	102
Special events (e.g. Fairs, Weddings, Proms)	29.6%	26.6%	32.8%	.054	280
Don't know	15.1%	12.9%	17.6%	.080	157
Refused	.2%	.1%	.9%	.707 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### How useful do you think it would be for you to learn how to prevent food-borne illness in your home?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Very useful	30.2%	27.2%	33.4%	.053	281
Somewhat useful	37.7%	34.4%	41.0%	.045	360
Not useful	29.9%	26.9%	33.1%	.053	298
Don't know	2.2%	1.5%	3.3%	.205 <sup>a</sup>	26
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

### In a typical week, how often do you eat meals that are prepared at home using meat, poultry, seafood, eggs, rice, beans or tofu?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
About once a week or less often (includes never)	5.8%	4.5%	7.5%	.133	64
2 to 4 times per week	23.6%	20.9%	26.5%	.061	241
5 to 6 times per week	35.1%	31.9%	38.4%	.047	335
7 or more times a week	35.2%	32.0%	38.5%	.048	321
Don't know	.3%	.1%	.9%	.527 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published



**In a typical week, how often do you eat leftovers containing meat, poultry, seafood, eggs, rice, beans or tofu?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
About once a week or less often (includes never)	35.4%	32.2%	38.7%	.047	352
2 to 4 times per week	53.7%	50.3%	57.1%	.032	512
5 to 6 times per week	6.4%	5.0%	8.3%	.131	61
7 or more times a week	3.3%	2.2%	4.9%	.206 <sup>a</sup>	28
Don't know	1.0%	.5%	2.1%	.351 <sup>b</sup>	10
Refused	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**In your household, are you the person who prepares most of the food?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	59.2%	55.8%	62.5%	.029	622
No	28.7%	25.7%	32.0%	.056	236
Share responsibility	12.0%	10.0%	14.4%	.093	106
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**If you wanted to get information about safe food preparation in the home, how could the health unit get this information to you?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Website/Internet/Online	32.5%	29.4%	35.8%	.050	295
Workshops/presentation/course	1.0%	.5%	2.0%	.335 <sup>b</sup>	10
E-mail	10.0%	8.1%	12.2%	.105	90
Pamphlet/brochure/flyer	24.1%	21.3%	27.1%	.061	240
Other, specify	18.9%	16.4%	21.6%	.070	192
Not interested in this type of information	6.2%	4.9%	8.0%	.125	71
Don't know	7.2%	5.6%	9.3%	.131	67
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Have you seen or heard your health unit's food safety campaign?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	16.7%	14.3%	19.4%	.078	162
Qualified yes, I think so etc.	3.9%	2.8%	5.5%	.174 <sup>a</sup>	35
No	78.1%	75.1%	80.8%	.018	754
Don't know	1.4%	.8%	2.4%	.284 <sup>a</sup>	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

### How did you read or hear about the health unit's food safety campaign?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Supermarket/retail store	.5%	.1%	3.7%	.998 <sup>b</sup>	1
Community centre	5.8%	2.9%	11.3%	.347 <sup>b</sup>	9
Friend or family member	10.5%	6.7%	16.2%	.226 <sup>a</sup>	19
Internet	4.2%	2.0%	8.4%	.364 <sup>b</sup>	8
Mass media	29.9%	23.3%	37.5%	.121	56
Poster, display, billboard	4.4%	2.0%	9.4%	.395 <sup>b</sup>	7
Printed material	16.3%	11.4%	22.8%	.177 <sup>a</sup>	30
School	3.6%	1.7%	7.5%	.379 <sup>b</sup>	7
Workplace	16.3%	11.5%	22.7%	.173	32
Other (specify)	20.5%	14.9%	27.4%	.155	40
<b>Total</b>					<b>209*</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Respondents were able to give multiple answers

## 2.15 Fruits and Vegetables

### Daily Consumption of Fruits or Vegetables

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
<3 times per day	28.2%	23.1%	33.9%	.098	87
3<5 times per day	38.4%	32.7%	44.4%	.078	116
5+ times per day	33.4%	28.0%	39.3%	.087	103
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>306</b>

\* Asked only from January to April

## 2.16 General Health (CORE)

### Would you say your general health is:

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Excellent	21.5%	18.8%	24.4%	.067	204
Very good	35.7%	32.5%	39.0%	.047	343
Good	29.6%	26.6%	32.8%	.053	284
Fair	9.5%	7.7%	11.6%	.104	99
Poor	3.3%	2.2%	5.0%	.205 <sup>a</sup>	31
Don't know	.3%	.1%	.9%	.600 <sup>b</sup>	3
Refused	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.17 Household Drinking Water

### What kind of water do the people in your household drink most often?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Tap water	58.3%	55.0%	61.6%	.029	556
Bottled water	17.1%	14.7%	19.7%	.075	166
Both	23.8%	21.0%	26.8%	.062	233
Other (specify)	.8%	.4%	1.6%	.333 <sup>a</sup>	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

### Does your household use any type of drinking water filter or purifier?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	47.9%	44.1%	51.6%	.040	370
No	51.4%	47.6%	55.2%	.037	412
Don't know	.7%	.3%	1.8%	.453 <sup>b</sup>	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>789</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### What type of filter or purifier does your household currently use?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Reverse osmosis filtration	8.5%	5.9%	12.0%	.180 <sup>a</sup>	30
Activated alumina de-fluoridation filter	1.4%	.5%	3.9%	.525 <sup>b</sup>	4
Activated charcoal system	51.6%	46.2%	57.0%	.054	198
Ultra violet (UV) light	7.8%	5.4%	11.3%	.190 <sup>a</sup>	28
Ceramic filters	1.4%	.6%	3.5%	.466 <sup>b</sup>	5
Don't know	14.4%	10.9%	18.7%	.137	51
Other (specify)	14.9%	11.4%	19.3%	.135	54
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>370</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Has fluoride been added to your municipal water supply?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	46.8%	41.4%	52.3%	.060	182
No	11.4%	8.2%	15.5%	.162	38
Don't know	41.8%	36.5%	47.3%	.066	154
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>374</b>

## 2.18 Physical Activity (CORE)

### Level of Physical Activity ( November 2005 IPAQ Method)

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
High	62.4%	58.4%	66.1%	.032	427
Moderate	23.9%	20.6%	27.5%	.073	163
Low	13.7%	11.3%	16.6%	.098	102
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>692</b>

## 2.19 Safe Water Testing

### Source of tap water

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Municipal system	36.2%	33.0%	39.5%	.045	374
Private well	59.7%	56.4%	63.0%	.028	546
Natural non-well water NOT part of municipal system	1.5%	.9%	2.6%	.261 <sup>a</sup>	15
Some other water system (specify)	.3%	.1%	.9%	.527 <sup>b</sup>	4
Communal well	1.3%	.8%	2.3%	.275	15
Don't know	.9%	.4%	1.7%	.352 <sup>b</sup>	10
Refused	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Is the well deep-drilled, shallow dug or bored well, or another type of well?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Deep-drilled	73.9%	69.9%	77.7%	.027	414
Shallow dug or bored	10.2%	7.7%	13.3%	.139	58
Some other type of well	.7%	.3%	2.0%	.529 <sup>b</sup>	4
Don't know	15.2%	12.3%	18.6%	.106	85
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>561</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Have you had your water tested for bacteria in the past 12 months?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	40.5%	36.3%	44.8%	.054	233
No	57.1%	52.8%	61.4%	.039	331
Don't know	2.4%	1.3%	4.2%	.295 <sup>a</sup>	12
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>576</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**How many times have you had your water tested for bacteria in the past 12 months?**

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
1 time	61.0%	54.1%	67.5%	.056	141
2 times	18.2%	13.5%	24.1%	.148	42
3 times	6.7%	4.1%	10.9%	.252 <sup>a</sup>	16
4 or more times	7.4%	4.2%	12.5%	.278 <sup>a</sup>	17
Don't know	6.7%	4.1%	10.8%	.248 <sup>a</sup>	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>233</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

## 2.20 Sexual Identification

**Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual, homosexual, that is lesbian or gay, or bisexual?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Heterosexual	94.6%	92.8%	96.0%	.009	913
Homosexual	.5%	.2%	1.3%	.446 <sup>b</sup>	5
Bisexual	.7%	.3%	1.5%	.407 <sup>b</sup>	7
Don't know	1.7%	1.0%	2.8%	.262 <sup>a</sup>	18
Refused	2.2%	1.3%	3.8%	.269 <sup>a</sup>	19
Other [specify]	.2%	.1%	.7%	.612 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.21 Sociodemographics (CORE)

### Age

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
18-24	5.5%	4.0%	7.7%	.167 <sup>a</sup>	35
25-44	20.9%	18.3%	23.7%	.066	195
45-64	49.7%	46.3%	53.1%	.035	455
65+	22.4%	19.9%	25.1%	.060	261
Don't know/Refused	1.5%	.9%	2.5%	.246 <sup>a</sup>	19
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## Gender

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Male	41.4%	38.1%	44.8%	.041	384
Female	58.6%	55.2%	61.9%	.029	581
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

## Marital Status

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Married	65.5%	62.2%	68.6%	.025	560
Living with a partner/common law	9.5%	7.7%	11.8%	.110	81
Widowed	7.5%	6.1%	9.2%	.104	114
Divorced	5.9%	4.7%	7.4%	.115	88
Separated	2.9%	1.9%	4.2%	.201 <sup>a</sup>	36
Never been married	8.3%	6.6%	10.5%	.120	81
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
Refused	.3%	.1%	.8%	.529 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## Do you have any children in your household aged 17 or younger?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	28.5%	25.4%	31.7%	.056	249
No	71.2%	68.0%	74.3%	.023	713
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
Refused	.2%	.1%	.9%	.707 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**How many children 17 years or younger, live in your household?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
1 child	42.4%	36.0%	49.1%	.079	103
2 children	39.5%	33.4%	46.0%	.082	105
3 children	12.3%	8.7%	17.1%	.172 <sup>a</sup>	31
4 children	3.3%	1.5%	6.9%	.388 <sup>b</sup>	7
5 children	1.7%	.4%	8.0%	.798 <sup>b</sup>	2
6 children	.8%	.1%	5.3%	.995 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>249</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**What is the highest level of education you have obtained?**

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Less than High School	9.6%	7.8%	11.7%	.104	99
High School or more	34.6%	31.4%	38.0%	.048	328
Post-secondary graduate	55.0%	51.6%	58.4%	.031	529
Don't know/Refused	.8%	.4%	1.5%	.349 <sup>b</sup>	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**Employment Status**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Employed for wages	48.4%	45.0%	51.8%	.036	437
Self-employed	12.8%	10.6%	15.4%	.094	112
Out of work for less than 1 year	2.3%	1.4%	3.8%	.253	17
Out of work for more than 1 year	2.1%	1.3%	3.3%	.233	20
Taking care of family (family & part-time)	1.9%	1.1%	3.1%	.264	15
Student (student & part-time)	1.6%	.9%	2.9%	.311	12
Retired (retired & part-time)	28.0%	25.2%	30.9%	.053	320
Unable to work (includes on disability)	2.4%	1.5%	3.8%	.233	25
Other	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
Don't know	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
Refused	.2%	.1%	.7%	.612 <sup>b</sup>	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published



### Household income before taxes (as of December 31, 2010)

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Don't know/Refused	27.4%	24.4%	30.5%	.056	268
Less than \$30,000	10.4%	8.6%	12.5%	.095	123
\$30,000-\$69,999	30.5%	27.5%	33.7%	.052	301
\$70,000-\$99,999	14.9%	12.6%	17.5%	.084	135
\$100,000 or more	16.9%	14.4%	19.7%	.081	138
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

### What language do you speak most often at home?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
English	98.4%	97.3%	99.0%	.004	948
Arabic	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>u</sup>	1
French	.5%	.2%	1.2%	.457 <sup>u</sup>	5
German	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>u</sup>	2
Dutch	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>u</sup>	1
Scandinavian	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>u</sup>	1
Latvian	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>u</sup>	1
Swiss	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>u</sup>	1
Other/more than one mention [specify]	.2%	.1%	.9%	.707 <sup>u</sup>	2
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>u</sup>	1
Refused	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>u</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### In what country were you born?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Canada	92.0%	90.1%	93.6%	.010	879
Germany	.5%	.2%	1.3%	.424 <sup>b</sup>	7
Italy	.3%	.1%	.9%	.600 <sup>b</sup>	3
Netherlands/Holland	.9%	.5%	1.7%	.316 <sup>a</sup>	11
Philippines	.2%	.0%	1.2%	.999 <sup>b</sup>	1
Poland	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
United Kingdom(England, Scotland, Ireland, Wales, Great Britain)	2.9%	2.0%	4.1%	.182 <sup>a</sup>	33
United States of America	1.1%	.6%	2.1%	.337 <sup>b</sup>	10
France	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
Don't know	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
Other	1.7%	1.0%	2.8%	.262 <sup>a</sup>	16
Refused	.2%	.0%	.7%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### In what year did you first come to Canada to live?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
1993 to 2013	5.8%	2.1%	15.3%	.513 <sup>b</sup>	4
1973 to 1992	19.7%	11.7%	31.2%	.252 <sup>a</sup>	14
Before 1973	74.5%	62.5%	83.6%	.073	63
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>81</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.22 Support for Smoke-free Public Places

### Do you support or oppose a local by-law making public playgrounds smoke-free?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	69.4%	66.2%	72.5%	.023	669
Somewhat support	13.3%	11.2%	15.8%	.088	127
Somewhat oppose	6.7%	5.2%	8.7%	.129	65
Strongly oppose	7.4%	5.8%	9.5%	.127	69
Don't know	3.1%	2.1%	4.4%	.183 <sup>a</sup>	34
Refused	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Do you support or oppose a local by-law making public beaches smoke-free?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	54.9%	51.5%	58.3%	.031	521
Somewhat support	17.5%	15.1%	20.2%	.075	169
Somewhat oppose	11.7%	9.7%	14.1%	.095	113
Strongly oppose	11.8%	9.8%	14.3%	.096	115
Don't know	3.6%	2.6%	5.0%	.164 <sup>a</sup>	43
Refused	.4%	.1%	1.1%	.515 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**Do you support or oppose a local by-law making outdoor public sports fields and spectator areas smoke-free?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	57.8%	54.4%	61.2%	.030	558
Somewhat support	20.2%	17.6%	23.2%	.070	191
Somewhat oppose	9.9%	8.0%	12.1%	.105	97
Strongly oppose	9.0%	7.2%	11.2%	.112	83
Don't know	3.0%	2.1%	4.2%	.176 <sup>a</sup>	35
Refused	.1%	.0%	.4%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**Do you support or oppose a local by-law making doorways to workplaces smoke-free?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	76.2%	73.1%	79.0%	.020	733
Somewhat support	10.6%	8.7%	12.9%	.100	104
Somewhat oppose	5.2%	3.8%	7.1%	.161	46
Strongly oppose	5.4%	4.1%	7.1%	.138	55
Don't know	2.0%	1.2%	3.4%	.272 <sup>a</sup>	20
Refused	.6%	.3%	1.3%	.396 <sup>b</sup>	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**Do you support or oppose a local by-law making doorways to public places smoke-free?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	76.4%	73.4%	79.2%	.019	735
Somewhat support	11.3%	9.3%	13.6%	.096	111
Somewhat oppose	5.0%	3.5%	7.0%	.174 <sup>a</sup>	43
Strongly oppose	5.3%	4.0%	7.0%	.140	53
Don't know	1.5%	.9%	2.5%	.246 <sup>a</sup>	18
Refused	.4%	.2%	1.1%	.467 <sup>b</sup>	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**Do you support or oppose a local by-law making outdoor public patios where food and drink are sold or served smoke-free?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	57.8%	54.5%	61.2%	.030	548
Somewhat support	14.4%	12.2%	16.9%	.083	149
Somewhat oppose	11.5%	9.5%	13.8%	.096	110
Strongly oppose	13.5%	11.3%	16.0%	.087	129
Don't know	2.4%	1.5%	3.8%	.237 <sup>a</sup>	25
Refused	.4%	.1%	1.1%	.515 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.24 Support for Smoking Ban in Multi-Dwelling Units

**How do you feel about banning smoking EVERYWHERE in multi-unit dwellings?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Strongly support	29.3%	26.3%	32.5%	.054	275
Somewhat support	22.6%	19.9%	25.6%	.064	212
Somewhat oppose	18.3%	15.8%	21.1%	.073	180
Strongly oppose	24.3%	21.6%	27.4%	.061	238
Don't know	4.7%	3.5%	6.2%	.144	52
Refused	.7%	.3%	1.5%	.368 <sup>b</sup>	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

**If smoking is banned in the entire building do you think smoking should also be banned on all outdoor property around the building?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	47.9%	43.2%	52.7%	.051	237
No	46.4%	41.7%	51.1%	.052	223
Don't know	5.7%	3.8%	8.5%	.205 <sup>a</sup>	27
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>487</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

**If smoking is banned in the entire building do you think smoking should also be banned on outdoor property but only near doors, windows or air vents?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	85.2%	79.8%	89.4%	.029	215
No	10.1%	6.7%	15.0%	.204 <sup>a</sup>	23
Don't know	4.7%	2.5%	8.5%	.309 <sup>a</sup>	12
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>250</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

## Tobacco

### Tobacco-Use by Respondent (CORE)

**Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	49.2%	45.8%	52.6%	.035	481
No	50.2%	46.8%	53.6%	.035	475
Don't know	.5%	.3%	1.1%	.374	8
Refused	.1%	.0%	.8%	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

**Currently do you smoke cigarettes:**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Every day	27.9%	23.7%	32.5%	.081	127
Some days (occasionally / sometimes)	7.4%	5.2%	10.3%	.172 <sup>a</sup>	36
Not at all	64.8%	60.0%	69.3%	.037	318
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>481</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

### Tobacco - In the Home

**Does anyone in this household smoke regularly INSIDE the home?**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	12.3%	8.6%	17.3%	.178 <sup>a</sup>	33
No	87.7%	82.7%	91.4%	.025	216
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>249</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

\* Asked from January – April only

\* Asked of non-smokers only

**Which of the following best describes the rules or understandings about not smoking inside your home for visitors:**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Not allowed at all	80.6%	75.5%	84.9%	.030	257
Allowed sometimes	4.5%	2.5%	7.9%	.291 <sup>a</sup>	13
Allowed in certain areas	5.0%	3.0%	8.4%	.264 <sup>a</sup>	15
Allowed except when children present	5.7%	3.5%	9.2%	.249 <sup>a</sup>	19
Smokers do whatever they want	2.7%	1.4%	5.1%	.328 <sup>a</sup>	11
Don't know	1.3%	.5%	3.7%	.527 <sup>b</sup>	4
Refused	.2%	.0%	1.2%	1.001 <sup>b</sup>	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>320</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked from January – April only

**Tobacco – In Vehicle (CORE)**

**Which of the following best describes the rules or understandings about people smoking in the vehicle you drive the most:**

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Not allowed at all	78.8%	75.7%	81.6%	.019	697
Allowed some of the time	4.7%	3.4%	6.3%	.155	46
Allowed except when children are present	11.8%	9.6%	14.3%	.101	104
Smokers do whatever they want	4.1%	2.8%	6.0%	.195 <sup>a</sup>	31
Don't know	.7%	.2%	1.9%	.536 <sup>b</sup>	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>882</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Asked of drivers only

## 2.25 Triple P – Positive Parenting Program Awareness Campaign

### Have you seen or heard about the Triple P campaign?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	8.9%	7.2%	11.1%	.110	84
No	90.5%	88.4%	92.3%	.011	875
Don't know	.5%	.2%	1.3%	.424 <sup>b</sup>	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### How did you learn about the campaign?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Passive visual media	8.1%	3.9%	16.3%	.371 <sup>b</sup>	7
Professional (nurse, doctor)	16.9%	9.9%	27.2%	.259 <sup>a</sup>	13
Word of mouth	15.3%	8.7%	24.7%	.268 <sup>a</sup>	13
Online/internet	0.0%	0	0	0	0
Newspaper / magazine	18.7%	11.1%	29.9%	.255 <sup>a</sup>	15
Television	1.9%	0.4%	7.8%	.741 <sup>b</sup>	2
Radio	11.2%	5.8%	20.6%	.323 <sup>a</sup>	9
Print materials	8.7%	4.3%	16.9%	.351 <sup>b</sup>	8
Other, specify	31.9%	22.4%	43.2%	.168 <sup>a</sup>	26
<b>Total</b>					<b>93*</b>

<sup>a</sup> Due to large sampling variability, estimate should be used with caution (C.V.=.166-.333)

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

\* Respondents were able to give multiple answers

### Have you ever visited the Triple P website?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	9.2%	4.5%	17.9%	.355 <sup>b</sup>	8
No	90.6%	81.8%	95.4%	.037	75
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>83</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

## 2.26 Web Site

### Do you use the internet?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	76.5%	73.6%	79.2%	.019	708
No (includes no access to web)	23.5%	20.8%	26.4%	.061	257
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>965</b>

### Have you used the Internet to access health-related information?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	77.2%	73.7%	80.4%	.022	544
No	22.6%	19.4%	26.0%	.075	162
Don't know	.2%	.0%	.9%	.745 <sup>b</sup>	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>708</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published

### Have you ever connected to the Leeds, Grenville & Lanark District Health Unit Web Site?

Categories	Percent	95% Confidence Interval		Coefficient of Variation	Frequency
		Lower	Upper		
Yes	28.2%	24.4%	32.3%	.072	158
No	69.9%	65.7%	73.8%	.030	377
Don't know	1.9%	.9%	3.7%	.357 <sup>b</sup>	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>.000</b>	<b>544</b>

<sup>b</sup> Due to high sampling variability (C.V. greater than .333) the estimate is too unreliable to be published